

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

٠.	COMMISSIONER
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WWW HERITA GOV

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/805,310	03/13/2001 7590 07/08/2004		Kannan Srinivasan	696.004	1781
35195				EXAMI	EXAMINER
FERENCE A				JACKSON, BLANE J	
400 BROAD PITTSBURG		=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			2685	15
				DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q LOCATION 9/26/04

+ Uson Dovied Coanning (HABITS) + 6502 PROFILE

- S CLAIM YOU GROAD " "NOWNOW (PUSTOR) la Formation!

600000 ACTION OF "GUTORIAL" WESTERS

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/805,310	SRINIVASAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Blane J Jackson	2685			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>24 May 2004</i> .				
_	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* ``*'	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/805,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 24 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are 1. not persuasive. The applicant states that there is no disclosure in Glorikian that the information conveyed to a user of the system is based on anything other than geographic location and that it is left up to the user of the system to pursue the geographic based information and determine what information, if any, is of interest to the user. However, Glorikian uses a visit to Williamsburg as an example to use the system where in addition to tourist information at specific places (column 5, line 57 to column 6, line 29), inferred information in the form of advertisements are also provided to the mobile user as in "The traveler visiting Colonial Williamsburg may be presented with availability of overnight accommodations, restaurants and the like in the immediate vicinity of Colonial Williamsburg." Glorikian teaches two embodiments where the Internet appliance user is provided a cache of information to be accessed by the appliance user (column 10, lines 47-62) or the information is freely pushed (column 10, lines 34-46 and to distinguish the two approaches, column 10, line 63 to column 11, line 6). This position is repeated in the final rejection that follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/805,310

Art Unit: 2685

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glorikian (U.S. Patent 6,343,317).

As to claim 1, Glorikian teaches a method of communicating inferred information to a wireless communication device user comprising:

determining a location of the wireless device (figures 1 and 2, a cell phone with a GPS receiver and display, column 5, lines 26-42),

inferring a likely interest of the user from the location of the wireless device (inferred interest based on the location of the tourist of a walking tour, column 5, line 57 to column 6, line 29),

transmitting data related to the inferred interest to the wireless device (inferred interest to a specific location, column 5, line 57 to column 6, line 28, and inferred based

Page 4

on a region, advertisement information freely pushed or controlled and accessed by the Internet appliance user, column 10, line 34 to column 11, line 6).

As to claim 2, Glorikian teaches that the device user may be presented with the availability of overnight accommodations, restaurants and other location and time dependent services (column 10, lines 34-46).

As to claims 3 and 4, Glorikian teaches the information service infers information likely to be of interest to the device user based on the time and the device user's specific location, movement direction and rate of location change where (column 5, line 56 to column 6, line 29).

As to claim 5, Glorikian teaches a method of communicating inferred information to a wireless device user comprising:

determining time at the wireless device,

inferring a likely interest of the user from the time at the wireless device,

transmitting data related to the inferred interest to the wireless device (tracking the user's position and knowing where the user will be, such as a museum to provide special offers, services or arrangements, the client's timed based potential needs at the museum is the inferred timely information, column 11, lines 7-29).

As to claim 6, Glorikian teaches inferring includes inferring information of interest to the4 wireless communication device based on information received from another wireless communication device (advertiser's to provide timely information to the system, column 11, lines 7-16).

As to claim 7, Glorikian teaches an information service that wirelessly connects the device user (subscriber) with a wireless network with access to the information over the Internet (figure 1, column 3, lines 31-53). Glorikian discloses that the user device includes GPS circuitry to report location information to the service with the information/service request, the first (wireless) signal by the device user. Glorikian further teaches reviewing the location based information in consideration of the approximate location and using an optionally determined need to generate a recommendation to be transmitted to the user and transmitting the recommendation via the second signal transmitting means (column 11, lines 17-29).

As to claim 8, Glorikian teaches the method of claim 7 further comprising determining from the first signal a need of the user for a product or service (location indicates information needed, column 5, lines 57-64).

As to claims 9 and 10, Glorikian teaches the method of claim 7 comprising determining an approximate time to base a recommendation when the first signal was

sent (the GPS location information fixes when, where and where the user is likely to be located for specific and inferred information (column 11, lines 17-29).

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blane J Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-5291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJJ

EDWARD F. URBANI SUPERMISORY PAVERT EN VILLE TECHNOLOGY CENTRE AULA